



U.S. Department of Justice

Federal Bureau of Prisons

P.O. Box 4000

Three Rivers, Texas 78071

January 29, 2025

From: [REDACTED], Case Manager

Subject: Response to OSC File No. DI-24-001399

In Mr. Weinsheimer's correspondence to The Honorable Hampton Dellinger, he stated the allegation was for FCI Three Rivers. The allegation made was for numerous other FBOP facilities, not only FCI Three Rivers. He stated the investigation was not substantiated at FCI Three Rivers, but there is no mention if other facilities identified in the rosters showing IFRP dates older than the date of incarceration, were looked at.

In the Report of Investigation for OSC File Number DI-24-001399, Synopsis, they stated I alleged BOP is failing to reset inmate's IFRP assignments to "unassigned" when leaving BOP custody is incorrect. The allegation is that the FBOP is failing to follow Program Statement 5380.08- Inmate Financial Responsibility Program (IFRP). Specifically, pg 13, a, ***"UNASSG."*** ***"Unassigned" is entered into SENTRY automatically when an inmate is committed to federal custody. At initial classification, this assignment must be converted to another assignment, based on the payment plan the Unit Team developed and the inmate's willingness to participate.***

Inmates IFRP assignments are not being automatically entered in SENTRY when an inmate is committed to federal custody. At initial classification, this assignment(unassigned) must be converted to another assignment base on the payment plan the Unit Team developed. So, for newly committed inmates to federal custody, at initial classification the inmate should already have the IFRP assignment of "Unassigned" and unit team will convert this assignment once a payment plan is developed. My allegation is that the FBOP is failing to ensure this is being done. The IFRP assignment of "unassigned" is not automatically being entered into SENTRY once the inmate is committed to federal custody. I have provided IFRP rosters showing inmate at FCI Three Rivers and the FBOP, that have IFRP dates older than the inmate's date of incarceration. The inmate's date of incarceration is when the inmate is committed to federal custody. Since I only have IFRP access for FCI Three Rivers, that is how I was able to identify inmate's that had IFRP dates older than their incarceration date and whos obligations were never loaded into SENTRY, therefore not being collected on by the Agency.

On page 6, under Allegations, they wrote "Specifically, [REDACTED] alleged BOP is failing to reset inmates' IFRP assignments to "unassigned" when **leaving** BOP custody." This is incorrect. My allegation is that inmates are not being given the IFRP assignment of "Unassigned" automatically when the inmate returns to federal custody on a new charge.

Under the section "Subject Matter Expert Interviews"

EX1 wrote "[REDACTED] belief that the IFRP status should be changed back to unassigned at an AIC's release was incorrect, as was the belief that the unassigned roster would list all who need review of their financial obligations"

It is not my belief about the IFRP status, it is what PS5380 states, Page 13, a, **"Unassigned" is entered into SENTRY automatically when an inmate is committed to federal custody. At initial classification, this assignment must be converted to another assignment, based on the payment plan the Unit Team developed and the inmate's willingness to participate.**

The rosters I provided showed inmates having IFRP dates older than their date of incarceration, clearly shows there this is a problem on how the FBOP is managing the IFRP. The audit of inmates at FCI Three Rivers, with IFRP dates older than their date of incarceration, clearly showed obligations that were never loaded into SENTRY, therefore not being collected on by Staff. The inmates identified at FCI Three Rivers were not on my case load. Another example of obligations not being loaded, due to the IFRP assignment of "Unassigned" not being automatically entered upon inmates committed to federal custody, is inmate [REDACTED], who arrived on my case load from another institution, where his obligation was never loaded by that facility. Therefore his obligation expired and his IFRP assignment was updated. Since I only have access to IFRP SENTRY for FCI Three Rivers, I was unable to audit the numerous FBOP inmates around the FBOP with IFRP dates older than their date of incarceration.

On 01-29-2025, I populated IFRP rosters with for South Central, Mid-Atlantic and North Central Regions, for inmate with a date of incarceration greater than 10-15-2024. Using Excel SENTRY rosters and the formula to show what inmates have an IFRP date older than their date, the following are the results:

For the South Central Region, the IFRP SENTRY roster populated 2082 inmates, with 853 inmates that have IFRP dates older than their date of incarceration.

For the Mid-Atlantic Region, the IFRP SENTRY roster populated 1525 inmates, with 474 inmates that have IFRP dates older than their date of incarceration.

For the North Central Region, the IFRP SENTRY roster populated 1395 inmates, with 748 inmates that have IFRP dates older than their date of incarceration.

The above rosters clearly shows that FBOP Case Managers and Correctional Counselors are failing to convert identify new court-ordered financial obligations, and update the information into the SENTRY database.

[REDACTED]
Response for OSC file# DI-24-001339